

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/868,938	NISHIO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy P. Solak	3746	

All Participants:

(1) Timothy P. Solak.

(2) Felix D'Ambrosio.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 June 2005

Time: PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

I informed Felix D'Ambrosio of a minor typo in Claim 7, namely "third" instead of --fluid--.

Felix D'Ambrosio agreed to all the changes set forth in the examiner's amendment.